



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

11,000,000 11/19/94 BIANCO

J JVB001

23M1/1220

ZANELLI, M EXAMINER

JOHN V. BIANCO
21 SALVON ROAD
QUINCY FLA, MA 02130

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2304

8

DATE MAILED:

12/20/94

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) M. Zanelli, PTO (3) _____
(2) J. Bianco, Reg # 36,748 (4) _____

Date of interview 12/19/94

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Discussed proposed changes to Independent claims 1 & 43

Identification of prior art discussed: Huston et al (5,364,093) & more officially of record at time of interview but copy was faxed to applicant

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed

changes to claims relative to interview with Mr. Vock on
12/8/94. Also discussed Huston et al. patent which was brought to
Examiner's attention subsequent to interview. Mr. Bianco indicated

response would be filed taking Huston et al. ref. into account as well as
adaptive language directed to automatically selective golf course being played on. No
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be
attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
specific claim language was
agreed to.

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature

M. Zanelli